

Remarks

Claims 1-8 & 10-20 are at issue. Claims 1-8 & 9-20 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis (US 6,155,410) in view of Shields (US 5,507,422).

Claims

Claim 1 as amended makes it clear that the hinge line divides the opening. This is not shown in either Davis or Shields. Claim 1 is allowable.

Claims 2-7 are allowable as being dependent upon an allowable base claim.

Claim 8 as amended makes it clear that the hinge line divides the opening into a first portion and a second portion. This is not shown in either Davis or Shields. Claim 1 is allowable.

Claims 10-14 are allowable as being dependent upon an allowable base claim.

Claim 15 as amended makes it clear that the hinge line divides the opening into a first portion and a second portion. This is not shown in either Davis or Shields.

Claim 1 is allowable.

Claims 16-20 are allowable as being dependent upon an allowable base claim.

Prompt reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Roh)

By \_\_\_\_\_ /dbh/  
Attorney for the Applicant  
Dale B. Halling  
Reg. No. 38,170  
Customer No.: 25,007  
Phone: (719) 447-1990  
Fax: (719) 447-9815